arXiv:1512.04315v1 [math.AC] 14 Dec 2015

RELATIVE HILBERT CO-EFFICIENTS

AMIR MAFI, TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL, RAKESH B. T. REDDY AND HERO SAREMI

Abstract. Let $(A,\mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and let $I \subseteq J$ be two $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals with I a reduction of J. For $i = 0,\dots,d$ let $e_i^J(A)$ ($e_i^I(A)$) be the i^{th} Hilbert coefficient of J (I) respectively. We call the number $c_i(I,J) = e_i^J(A) - e_i^I(A)$ the i^{th} relative Hilbert coefficient of J with respect to I. If $G_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay then $c_i(I,J)$ satisfy various constraints. We also show that vanishing of some $c_i(I,J)$ has strong implications on depth $G_{J^n}(A)$ for $n \gg 0$.

Introduction

Let $(A,\mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and let J be an $\mathfrak{m}-$primary ideal. The Hilbert-Samuel function of A with respect to J is $H_J(n) = \lambda(A/J^{n+1})$, (here $\lambda(-)$ denotes the length). It is well known that H_J is of polynomial type *i.e.* there exists $P_J(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that $H_J(n) = P_J(n)$ for all $n \gg 0$. We write

$$P_J(X) = e_0^J(A)\binom{X+d}{d} - e_1^J(A)\binom{X+d-1}{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d e_d^J(A).$$

Then the numbers $e_i^J(A)$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, d$ are the Hilbert coefficients of A with respect to J. The number $e_0^J(A)$ is called the multiplicity of A with respect to J.

Now assume for convenience A has infinite residue field. Then J has a minimal reduction $\mathfrak{q}$ generated by a system of parameters of A. Let $Gr_J(A) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} J^n/J^{n+1}$ be the associated graded ring of A with respect to J. There has been a lot of research regarding properties of J and $\mathfrak{q}$ and the depth properties of $Gr_J(A)$. For example if $J^2 = \mathfrak{q}J$ then we say J has minimal multiplicity and in this case $Gr_J(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay (see, [14, 2.7]).

In the context of this paper we consider minimal reduction to be an absolute reduction of J. The main new idea of this paper is that it is convenient to consider reduction I of J not necessarily minimal but having the crucial property that $Gr_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. We note that if $\mathfrak{q}$ is a minimal reduction of J then it is generated by system of parameters of A and so necessarily $Gr_{\mathfrak{q}}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

As I is a reduction of J then necessarily $e_0^I(A) = e_0^J(A)$. Let

$$c_i(I,J) = e_i^J(A) - e_i^I(A) \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

Date: December 15, 2015.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A30; Secondary 13D40, 13D07.

Key words and phrases. associated graded rings, Cohen-Macaulay local rings, reductions, integral closure, normal ideals.

Then we say $c_i(I,J)$ to be the i^{th} *relative Hilbert coefficient* of J with respect to I. We note that if $\mathfrak{q}$ is a minimal reduction of J then $e_i^{\mathfrak{q}}(A) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$ and so $c_i(\mathfrak{q}, J) = e_i^J(A)$ for $i \geq 1$.

Let us recall the classical Northcott's inequality

$$e_1^J(A) \geq e_0^J(A) - \lambda(A/J)$$

(see, [10]). But $e_0^J(A) = \lambda(A/\mathfrak{q})$ where $\mathfrak{q}$ is a minimal reduction of J. So Northcott's inequality can be rewritten as

$$e_1^J(A) \geq \lambda(J/\mathfrak{q}).$$

Furthermore if equality hods then by Huneke (see, [4]) and Ooishi (see, [11]) $Gr_J(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Our first result which easily follows from a deep result of Huckaba and Marley [5, Theorem 4.7] is the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let $I \subset J$ be $\mathfrak{m}-$ primary ideals with I a reduction of J. Assume $Gr_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then*

$$c_1(I,J) \geq \lambda(J/I).$$

If equality holds then $Gr_J(A)$ is also Cohen-Macaulay.

We give a different proof of Theorem 1. Although it's longer than the proof using Huckaba and Marley result, it has the advantage that it's techniques can be generalized to prove other results.

In [9] Narita proved that $e_2^J(A) \geq 0$. Furthermore if $\dim A = 2$ then $e_2^J(A) = 0$ if and only if reduction number of J^n is 1 for $n \gg 0$. In particular $Gr_{J^n}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for $n \gg 0$.

Our genelization of Narita's result is:

Theorem 2. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let $I \subset J$ be $\mathfrak{m}-$ primary ideals with I a reduction of J. Assume $Gr_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then*

$$c_2(I,J) \geq 0.$$

If $\dim A = 2$ and $c_2(I,J) = 0$ then $Gr_{J^n}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for $n \gg 0$.

If we assume J is integrally closed then we have the following result:

Theorem 3. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension 2. Let $I \subset J$ be $\mathfrak{m}-$ primary ideals with I a reduction of J. Assume J is integrally closed and $Gr_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. If $c_1(I,J) = \lambda(J/I) + 1$ then*

$$2\lambda(J/I) \leq \lambda(\widetilde{J^2}/I^2) \leq 2\lambda(J/I) + 1.$$

If $\lambda(\widetilde{J^2}/I^2) = 2\lambda(J/I) + 1$ then $Gr_{J^n}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all $n \gg 0$.

In Theorem 3, $\widetilde{J^2}$ denotes the Ratliff-Rush closure of J^2 (see,[12]).

Narita gave an example which shows that $e_3^J(A)$ of an $\mathfrak{m}-$primary ideal J can be negative. Recall that an ideal J is said to be normal if J^n is integrally closed for all $n \geq 1$. In [6] Itoh proved that if $\dim A \geq 3$ and J is a normal ideal then $e_3^J(A) \geq 0$. We prove:

Theorem 4. *Let $(A,\mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 3$. Let $I \subset J$ be $\mathfrak{m}-$ primary ideals with I a reduction of J. Assume J is normal and $Gr_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then*

$$c_3(I,J) \geq 0.$$

If $d = 3$ and $c_3(I,J) = 0$ then $Gr_{J^n}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all $n \gg 0$.

In the main body of the paper we consider a more general situation $(A,\mathfrak{m}) \to (B,\mathfrak{n})$ is a finite map with $\dim A = \dim B$, I an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary A-ideal, J an $\mathfrak{n}$-primary ideal with IB a reduction of J. We now describe in brief the contents of this paper. In section one we discuss a few prelimary results that we need. In section two we prove Theorem 1. In section three we prove Theorems 2,3. We prove Theorem 4 in section 4. Finally in section 5 we give a few examples which illustrates our results.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we follow the following hypothesis unless otherwise stated.

Hypothesis: Let $(A,\mathfrak{m}) \xrightarrow{\psi} (B,\mathfrak{n})$ be a local homomorphism of Cohen-Macaulay local rings such that
(1) B is finite as an $A-$module and $\dim A = \dim B$.
(2) $I \subset A$ and $J \subset B$ are ideals such that $\psi(I)B$ is a reduction of J.

Remark 1.1. $\psi(I)B$ is not necessarily a minimal reduction of J.

Remark 1.2. Note that $B/\mathfrak{n}$ is a finite extension of $A/\mathfrak{m}$. Set $\delta = \dim_{A/\mathfrak{m}} B/\mathfrak{n}$. Then for any $B-$module N of finite length we have $\lambda_A(N) = \delta\lambda_B(N)$.

The following result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for $\psi(I)B$ to be a reduction of J.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\psi : (A,\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow (B,\mathfrak{n})$ be a local homomorphism of Cohen-Macaulay local rings such that B is a finite $A-$module and $\dim A = \dim B$. Let I ideal in A and let J ideal in B with $\psi(I) \subset J$. Then $Gr_J(B)$ is finitely generated as a $Gr_I(A)-$module if and only if $\psi(I)B$ is a reduction of J.*

Proof. Suppose $\psi(I)B$ is a reduction of J. Let $c \geq 1$ be such that $\psi(I)J^n = J^{n+1}$ for all $n \geq c$. Then $J^{n+1}/J^{n+2} = \psi(I)(J^n/J^{n+1})$ for all $n \geq c$. Therefore $Gr_J(B)$ is a finite $Gr_I(A)-$module.

Conversely suppose that $Gr_J(B)$ is a finite $Gr_I(A)-$module. Then there exists n_0 such that $Gr_I(A)_1 Gr_J(B)_n = Gr_J(B)_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Thus for $n \geq n_0$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{J^{n+1}}{J^{n+2}} &= \frac{I}{I^2}.\frac{J^n}{J^{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{\psi(I)J^n + J^{n+2}}{J^{n+2}}.\end{aligned}$$

So $J^{n+1} = \psi(I)J^n + J^{n+2}$. Therefore by Nakayama's lemma $J^{n+1} = \psi(I)J^n$ for all $n \geq n_0$. □

Remark 1.4. Let $\mathcal{R}(I,A) = A[It] = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I^n t^n$ be the Rees ring of A with respect to I. If M is a finite A module then set $\mathcal{R}(I,M) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I^n M t^n$ the Rees module of M with respect to I. It can also be easily shown $\psi(I)B$ is a reduction of J if and only if $\mathcal{R}(J,B)$ is a finite $\mathcal{R}(I,A)-$module.

Remark 1.5. Set $W = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} J^{n+1}/I^{n+1}B$. Then we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(I,B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(J,B) \longrightarrow W(-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

an exact sequence of $\mathcal{R}(I,A)$ modules . So $W(-1)$ is a finite $\mathcal{R}(I,A)$ module. Hence W is a finite $\mathcal{R}(I,A)-$module.

The following is our main object to study associated graded modules and Hilbert coefficients.

Definition 1.6. Let M be an $A-$module. Set $L^I(M) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} M/I^{n+1}M$. Then the $A-$module $L^I(M)$ can be given an $\mathcal{R}(I,A)-$module structure as follows. The Rees ring $\mathcal{R}(I,A)$ is a subring of $A[t]$ and so $A[t]$ is an $\mathcal{R}(I,A)-$module. Therefore $M[t] = M \otimes_A A[t]$ is an $\mathcal{R}(I,A)-$module. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(I,M) \longrightarrow M[t] \longrightarrow L^I(M)(-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

defines an $\mathcal{R}(I,A)-$module structure on $L^I(M)(-1)$ and so on $L^I(M)$. Notice $L^I(M)$ is not a finitely generated $\mathcal{R}(I,A)$-module.

Remark 1.7. Let x be M superficial with respect to I and set $u = xt \in \mathcal{R}(I,A)_1$. Notice that $L^I(M)/uL^I(M) = L^I(M/xM)$.

By [13, Proposition 5.2] we have the following:

Remark 1.8. Let $x \in I\backslash I^2$. Then $x^* \in Gr_I(A)_1$ is $Gr_I(M)-$regular if and only if $u = xt \in \mathcal{R}(I,A)_1$ is $L^I(M)-$regular.

1.9. Let $\psi : A \longrightarrow B$ as before and $\dim A = d$. Assume that I is $\mathfrak{m}-$primary and J is $\mathfrak{n}-$primary. Define $L^I(B) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} B/I^{n+1}B$ and $L^J(B) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} B/J^{n+1}$. As $L^J(B)$ is a $\mathcal{R}(J,B)-$ module and so as a $\mathcal{R}(I,A)-$module. For each $n \geq 0$ we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{J^{n+1}}{I^{n+1}B} \longrightarrow \frac{B}{I^{n+1}B} \longrightarrow \frac{B}{J^{n+1}} \longrightarrow 0$$

an exact sequence of $A-$ modules. So we get

$$0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow L^I(B) \longrightarrow L^J(B) \longrightarrow 0$$

an exact sequence of $\mathcal{R}(I,A)$ modules. Therefore

$$\sum \lambda_A\left(\frac{J^{n+1}}{I^{n+1}B}\right) z^n = \frac{h^I_B(z) - \delta h^J_B(z)}{(1-z)^{d+1}}.$$

Note that $h^I_B(1) - \delta h^J_B(1) = 0$. So we can write

$$\delta h^J_B(z) = h^I_B(z) + (z-1)r(z).$$

Therefore we have
1) $\delta e^J_0(B) = e^I_0(B)$.
2) $\delta e^J_i(B) = e^I_i(B) + (r^{(i-1)}(1)/(i-1)!)$ for $i \geq 1$.

Remark 1.10. If $\delta e^J_1(B) \neq e^I_1(B)$ then $\dim W = d$.

We need the following technical result.

Lemma 1.11. *Let $\psi : A \longrightarrow B$ as before. Assume the residue field of A is infinite. Then there exists $x \in I$ such that*
(1) *x is A superficial with respect to I.*
(2) *$\psi(x)$ is B superficial with respect to J.*

Proof. Note that $Gr_J(B)$ is a finite $Gr_I(A)-$module. Also ψ induces a natural map $\hat{\psi} : Gr_I(A) \longrightarrow Gr_J(B)$. Let $z \in Gr_I(A)_1$ be a $Gr_I(A) \oplus Gr_J(B)$ filter regular. Then note that $\hat{\psi}(z)$ is $Gr_J(B)$ filter regular. Let $x \in I$ be such that $x^* = z$. Then clearly x is A superficial with respect to I. Also note that $\psi(x)^* = \hat{\psi}(z)$. So $\psi(x)$ is B superficial with respect to J. □

The following result easily follows by induction on the dimension of the ring.

Corollary 1.12. *Let $\psi : A \longrightarrow B$ as before. Assume that the residue field of A is infinite. Let $\dim A = d \geq 1$. Then there exist $\underline{x} = x_1, \cdots, x_d \in I$ such that*
(1) *$\underline{x}$ is A superficial sequence with respect to I.*
(2) *$\psi(\underline{x})$ is B superficial sequence with respect to J.*

Proof. Follows easily by induction on d and using Lemma 1.11. □

If $\psi(I)B \subsetneq J$ then $W \neq 0$. More over we have the following result:

Lemma 1.13. *Let $\psi : A \longrightarrow B$ as before. Assume that $Gr_I(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) *$\delta e_1^J(B) = e_1^I(B)$.*
(2) *$Gr_I(B) = Gr_J(B)$.*

Proof. We first prove that $Gr_J(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. By Sally descent and Lemma 1.11 we may assume that $\dim B = 1$. Now consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow L^I(B) \longrightarrow L^J(B) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $W = \bigoplus J^{n+1}/I^{n+1}B$. As $\delta e_1^J(B) = e_1^I(B)$ we get $\lambda(W) < \infty$. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the unique homogeneous maximal ideal of $\mathcal{R}(I, A)$ and $H^i(-) = H^i_{\mathfrak{M}}(-)$. As $Gr_I(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay by Remark 1.8 we get $H^0(L^I(B)) = 0$. So we get $W = 0$. Therefore $L^I(B) = L^J(B)$. So $H^0(L^J(B)) = 0$. Thus $Gr_J(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Now assume $\dim B \geq 2$. We prove $L^I(B) = L^J(B)$. This will prove the result. Note that $\operatorname{depth} W \geq 1$. Set $u := xt$ where x is $A-$superficial with respect to I and $\psi(x)$ is $B-$superficial with respect to J. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{W}{uW} \longrightarrow \frac{L^I(B)}{uL^I(B)} \longrightarrow \frac{L^J(B)}{uL^J(B)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By induction and Remark 1.7 we get $L^I(B)/uL^I(B) = L^J(B)/uL^J(B)$. So $W = uW$. By graded Nakayama's Lemma $W = 0$. Hence $L^I(B) = L^J(B)$. □

2. Extension of Northcott's inequality.

The following result easily follows from a result due to Huckaba and Marley (see, [5, Theorem 4.7]). However our techniques to prove this extends to prove our other results.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\psi : A \longrightarrow B$ as before. Assume that I is $\mathfrak{m}-$primary and J is $\mathfrak{n}-$primary. If $Gr_I(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay then $\delta e_1^J(B) \geq e_1^I(B) + \lambda(J/IB)$. If equality holds then $Gr_J(B)$ is also Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. By Sally machine we may assume that $\dim A = 1$. Set $W_i = J^{i+1}/I^{i+1}B$ and $W = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} W_i$. Note that $\lambda(W_i) = e_0(W)$ for $i \gg 0$. Let (x) be a minimal reduction of I. Let $u = xt \in \mathcal{R}(I, A)_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & W_i & \longrightarrow & L^I(B)_i & \longrightarrow & L^J(B)_i & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & L^I(B)_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & L^J(B)_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

a commutative diagram with exact rows. As $Gr_I(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay so x^* is $Gr_I(B)$ regular. So by Remark 1.8, u is $L^I(B)$ regular. Thus $L^I(B)_i \xrightarrow{u} L^I(B)_{i+1}$ is injective. As $W \subset L^I(B)$ we also get $W_i \xrightarrow{u} W_{i+1}$ is injective. Therefore $\lambda(W_0) \leq \lambda(W_1) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda(W_i) = e_0(W)$ for $i \gg 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \delta e_1^J(B) &= e_1^I(B) + e_0(W) \\ &\geq e_1^I(B) + \lambda(J/IB). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $\delta e_1^J(B) = e_1^I(B) + \lambda(J/IB)$. Then $e_0(W) = \lambda(J/IB)$. Thus $\lambda(W_n) = \lambda(W_0)$ for all $n \geq 0$. So we get $W_i \xrightarrow{u} W_{i+1}$ is an isomorphism. By Snake lemma we get

$$L^J(B)_i \xrightarrow{u} L^J(B)_{i+1}$$

is injective. Therefore u is $L^J(B)$ regular. By Remark 1.8 x^* is $Gr_J(B)-$regular. Hence $Gr_J(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. □

Now we give an example where Theorem 2.1 holds.

Example 2.2. Let $A = \mathbb{Q}[|X, Y, Z, W|]/(XY - YZ, XZ + Y^3 - Z^2)$. Let x, y, z, w be the images of X, Y, Z, W in A respectively. Set $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z, w)$. Then $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is a two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let $I = (x, y, w)$. Note that I is $\mathfrak{m}-$primary and z is integral over I. So I is a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$. It is proved in [8, example 3.6] $Gr_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Using CoCoA (see, [1]) we have computed $e_1^I(A) = 6$, $e_1^{\mathfrak{m}}(A) = 7$ and $\lambda(A/I) = 2$. So $e_1^{\mathfrak{m}}(A) = e_1^I(A) + \lambda(\mathfrak{m}/I)$. Hence by Theorem 2.1 we get $Gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

The following example shows that the condition $Gr_I(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay is essential.

Example 2.3. Let $A = \mathbb{Q}[|X, Y, Z, U, V, W|]/(Z^2, ZU, ZV, UV, YZ - U^3, XZ - V^3)$, with X, Y, Z, U, V, W inderterminates. Let x, y, z, u, v, w be the images of X, Y, Z, U, V, W in A. Set $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z, u, v, w)$. Then $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is a three dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let $I = (x, y, u, w)$. Note that $v^4 = vxz = 0$ and $z^2 = 0$ in A. Thus z, v are integral over I. So I is a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $J = (x, y, w)$. Then J is a minimal reduction I. Using CoCoA (see, [1]) we have checked that

$$P_I(t) = \frac{4 + t^2 + t^3}{(1-t)^3} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathfrak{m}}(t) = \frac{1 + 3t + 3t^3 - t^4}{(1-t)^3}.$$

We also checked $\lambda(I/J) = 2, \lambda(I^2/I^2 \cap J) = 1$ and $\lambda(I^3/I^3 \cap J) = 0$. Therefore by [5, Theorem 4.7] we get $\operatorname{depth} Gr_I(A) < 3$. Hence $Gr_I(A)$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. Also note that $h-$polynomial of $Gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$ has negative coefficient. So $Gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$ is also not Cohen-Macaulay. It is easy to see that $e_1^{\mathfrak{m}}(A) = e_1^I(A) + \lambda(\mathfrak{m}/I)$.

3. The case of dimension two

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in a Notherian ring S and M a finite S module. Then for $n \geq 1$, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}^n M} := \cup_{k \geq 1}(\mathfrak{a}^{n+k} M :_M \mathfrak{a}^k)$ is called the *Ratliff-Rush* closure of $\mathfrak{a}^n M$.

In general if $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ be two ideals in a ring S then it need not imply that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}$. However for reduction of ideals we have the following:

Proposition 3.1. *Let S be a Notherian ring and let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ be a reduction of $\mathfrak{b}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}^n} \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}^n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}^n}$. So $x\mathfrak{a}^k \subset \mathfrak{a}^{n+k}$ for some k. Thus $x\mathfrak{a}^k\mathfrak{b}^r \subset \mathfrak{a}^{n+k}\mathfrak{b}^r$ for all $r \geq 0$. As $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ is a reduction so $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^s = \mathfrak{b}^{s+1}$ for $s \gg 0$. Choose $r \geq s$. Then $\mathfrak{a}^k\mathfrak{b}^r = \mathfrak{b}^{k+r}$. Therefore $x\mathfrak{b}^{k+r} \subset \mathfrak{b}^{n+k+r}$. Thus $x \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}^n}$. □

3.2. Let M be an $A-$module. Define $\widetilde{L}^I(M) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} M/\widetilde{I^{n+1}M}$. Then $\widetilde{L}^I(M)$ is a $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{I}, A)-$module so $\mathcal{R}(I, A)-$module. Set

$$\widetilde{L}^J(B) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} B/\widetilde{J^{n+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{W} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \widetilde{J^{n+1}}/I^{n+1}B.$$

Then we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{W} \longrightarrow L^I(B) \longrightarrow \widetilde{L}^J(B) \longrightarrow 0$$

an exact sequence of $\mathcal{R}(I, A)$ modules. Note that $h^I_B(1) = \delta h^J_B(1) = \delta\widetilde{h}^J_B(1)$. Therefore we can write

$$\delta\widetilde{h}^J_B(z) = h^I_B(z) + (z-1)\widetilde{r}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\widetilde{W}}(z) = \frac{\widetilde{r}(z)}{(1-z)^d}.$$

Therefore
1) $\delta\widetilde{e}^J_0(B) = e^I_0(B)$.
2) $\delta\widetilde{e}^J_i(B) = e^I_i(B) + \widetilde{r}^{(i-1)}(1)/(i-1)!$.

Now we extend a famous result of Narita concerning second Hilbert coefficient (see, [9]).

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\psi : A \longrightarrow B$ as before and $\dim A \geq 2$. Let I be $\mathfrak{m}-$primary and J be $\mathfrak{n}-$primary. Assume that $Gr_I(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then*

$$\delta e^J_2(B) \geq e^I_2(B).$$

Proof. We may assume that $\dim A = 2$. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the unique homogeneous maximal ideal of $\mathcal{R}(I, A)$. Let $H^i(-) := H_{\mathfrak{M}}(-)$ be the i^{th} local cohomology module. As $Gr_I(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay so $H^i(Gr_I(B)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. Also note that $H^0(\widetilde{Gr}_J(B)) = 0$. By Remark 1.8 $H^0(\widetilde{L}^J(B)) = 0$ and $H^i(L^I(B)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. As we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{W} \longrightarrow L^I(B) \longrightarrow \widetilde{L}^J(B) \longrightarrow 0$$

an exact sequence of $\mathcal{R}(I, A)$ modules. By considering long exact sequence in local cohomology we get $H^i(\widetilde{W}) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. Hence $\widetilde{W}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. So $\widetilde{r}^{(1)}(1) \geq 0$. Also note that $e^I_2(B) \geq 0$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\widetilde{e}^J_2(B) &= e^I_2(B) + \widetilde{r}^{(1)}(1).\\ &\geq e^I_2(B).\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.4. From the proof of Proposition 3.3 one can see that $\widetilde{W}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if $\dim A = 2$.

By analysing the case of equality in the above Theorem we prove:

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\psi : A \longrightarrow B$ as before and $\dim A = 2$. Let I be $\mathfrak{m}-$primary and J be $\mathfrak{n}-$primary. Assume that $Gr_I(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Suppose $\delta e_2^J(B) = e_2^I(B)$. Then $\widetilde{Gr}_J(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Consequently $Gr_{J^n}(B)$ is Cohen-Macaula y for $n \gg 0$.*

Proof. We have $\delta e_2^J(B) = e_2^I(B) + \widetilde{r}^{(1)}(1)$. By remark 3.4 we get $\widetilde{W}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. So $\widetilde{r}^{(1)}(1) \geq 0$. By hypothesis $\delta e_2^J(B) = e_2^I(B)$. So $\widetilde{r}^{(1)}(1) = 0$. Therefore $\widetilde{r}(z) = c$(constant) and hence

$$H_{\widetilde{W}}(z) = \frac{c}{(1-z)^2}.$$

Let x, y be a I superficial sequence. Set $u = xt, v = yt$. Then $u, v \in \mathcal{R}(I, A)_1$. Now set $\overline{\widetilde{W}} = \widetilde{W}/u\widetilde{W}$, $\overline{L^I(B)} = L^I(B)/uL^I(B)$ and $\overline{\widetilde{L}^J(B)} = \widetilde{L}^J(B)/u\widetilde{L}^J(B)$. As u is $\widetilde{W} \oplus L^I(B) \oplus \widetilde{L}^J(B)-$regular we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{\widetilde{W}} \longrightarrow \overline{L^I(B)} \longrightarrow \overline{\widetilde{L}^J(B)} \longrightarrow 0$$

an exact sequence. So we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{\widetilde{W}_i} & \longrightarrow & \overline{L^I(B)_i} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\widetilde{L}^J(B)_i} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle v} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{\widetilde{W}_{i+1}} & \longrightarrow & \overline{L^I(B)_{i+1}} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\widetilde{L}^J(B)_{i+1}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. As $Gr_I(B)$ and $\widetilde{W}$ are Cohen-Macaulay we get that v is $\overline{L^I(B)} \oplus \overline{\widetilde{W}}-$regular. So $\overline{L^I(B)_i} \xrightarrow{v} \overline{L^I(B)_{i+1}}$ and $\overline{\widetilde{W}_i} \xrightarrow{v} \overline{\widetilde{W}_{i+1}}$ are injective. As $\lambda(\overline{\widetilde{W}_i}) = \lambda(\overline{\widetilde{W}_{i+1}})$ for all i. We get $\overline{\widetilde{W}_i} \xrightarrow{v} \overline{\widetilde{W}_{i+1}}$ is an isomorphism. By Snake Lemma it follows that $\overline{\widetilde{L}^J(B)_i} \xrightarrow{v} \overline{\widetilde{L}^J(B)_{i+1}}$ is injective. So $\operatorname{depth} \widetilde{L}^J(B) \geq 2$. Hence by remark 1.8 $\operatorname{depth} \widetilde{Gr}_J(B) \geq 2$. So $\widetilde{Gr}_J(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. In particular $Gr_{J^n}(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for $n \gg 0$. □

Now we give an example where Theorem 3.5 holds.

Example 3.6. Let $A = \mathbb{Q}[|X, Y, Z, U, V|]/(Z^2, ZU, ZV, UV, Y^2Z - U^3, X^2Z - V^3)$, with X, Y, Z, U, V inderterminates. Let x, y, z, u, v be the images of X, Y, Z, U, V in A. Set $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z, u, v)$. Then $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is a two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let $I = (x, y, z, u)$ and $J = (x, y, z, u, v^2)$. Note that $v^4 - vx^2z = 0$ in A. Thus v is integral over I. So I is a reduction of J. Let $\mathfrak{q} = (x, y)$. Then $\mathfrak{q}$ is a minimal reduction of I. Using CoCoA (see, [1]), we have computed $e_1^I(A) = 4$ and $e_2^I(A) = e_2^J(A) = 1$. We also checked that $\lambda(I/\mathfrak{q}) = 3$, $\lambda(I^2/I^2 \cap \mathfrak{q}) = 1$. By [5, Theorem 4.7] we get $Gr_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence by Theorem 3.5 we get $\widetilde{Gr}_J(A)$ Cohen-Macaulay. Hence $Gr_{J^n}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for $n \gg 0$.

For integrally closed ideals we prove:

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\psi : A \longrightarrow B$ as before and $\dim A = 2$. Let I be $\mathfrak{m}-$primary and J be $\mathfrak{n}-$primary. Assume that $Gr_I(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Suppose J is integrally closed and*

$$\delta e_1^J(B) = e_1^I(B) + \lambda(J/IB) + 1.$$

Then
a) $2\lambda(J/IB) \leq \lambda(\widetilde{J^2}/I^2B) \leq 2\lambda(J/IB) + 1$.

b) If $\lambda(\widetilde{J^2}/I^2B) = 2\lambda(J/IB)+1$. *Then* $\widetilde{Gr}_J(B)$ *is Cohen-Macaulay. Consequently* $Gr_{J^n}(B)$ *is Cohen-Macaulay for* $n \gg 0$.

Proof. By remark 3.4 $\widetilde{W}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Let

$$H_{\widetilde{W}}(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \lambda_A(\widetilde{W}_n)z^n = \frac{\widetilde{r}(z)}{(1-z)^2}$$

be the Hilbert series of $\widetilde{W}$. Note that all the co-efficients of $\widetilde{r}(z)$ are positive. Write

$$\widetilde{r}(z) = r_0 + r_1 z + \cdots + r_s z^s.$$

Then we have $r_0 = \lambda(\widetilde{J}/IB) = \lambda(J/IB)$ and $\lambda(\widetilde{J^2}/I^2B) = 2r_0 + r_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta e_1^J(B) &= e_1^I(B) + \widetilde{r}(1).\\ &= e_1^I(B) + \lambda(J/IB) + 1.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\widetilde{r}(1) = \lambda(J/IB)+1$. Hence $r_1 + \cdots + r_s = 1$. So (a) follows.

Suppose (b) holds. *i.e.*

$$\lambda(\widetilde{J^2}/I^2B) = 2\lambda(J/IB)+1.$$

Then $r_1 = 1$ and $r_j = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. Let x, y be a I superficial sequence. Set $u = xt$. Then $u \in \mathcal{R}(I,A)_1$. Also set $U = \widetilde{W}/u\widetilde{W}$. Then we have

$$0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow \frac{L^I(B)}{uL^I(B)} \longrightarrow \frac{\widetilde{L}^J(B)}{u\widetilde{L}^J(B)} \longrightarrow 0$$

an exact sequence. Note that $\lambda(U_n) = \lambda(U_2)$ for $n \geq 2$. Also note that $v = yt$ in $\mathcal{R}(I,A)$ is $L^I(B)/uL^I(B)$ regular. So v is U regular. So we have

$$0 \longrightarrow U(-1) \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow \frac{U}{vU} \longrightarrow 0$$

an exact sequence. By Hilbert series $(U/vU)_j = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. Now by setting $K = \ker\Big((\widetilde{L}^J(B)/u\widetilde{L}^J(B))(-1) \xrightarrow{v} \widetilde{L}^J(B)/u\widetilde{L}^J(B)\Big)$ we get by Snake Lemma $K_j = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. Also note that $K_0 = 0$.

Claim: $K_1 = 0$. To prove the claim set $\mathcal{F} = \{\widetilde{J^n}\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a filtration on B. Then $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \{\widetilde{J^n} + (x)/(x)\}$ is the quotient filtration on B/xB. Put $\mathfrak{q} = J/(x) = \bar{\mathcal{F}}_1$. We may assume that $\mathfrak{q}$ is integrally closed. As $\widetilde{J^n} = J^n$ for $n \gg 0$. So we get $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_n = \mathfrak{q}^n$ for $n \gg 0$.

We prove that $\overline{\mathcal{F}_2} : y = \bar{\mathcal{F}}_1 = \mathfrak{q}$. Let $a \in \overline{\mathcal{F}_2} : y$. So $ya \in \overline{\mathcal{F}_2}$. So $y^{n+1}a \in y^n\overline{\mathcal{F}_2} \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}_{2+n}} = \mathfrak{q}^{n+2}$ for $n \gg 0$. This implies $a \in \widetilde{q} = \bar{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q}$. It follows that $K_1 = 0$. Thus K is zero. So $\widetilde{L}^J(B)$ has depth ≥ 2. This implies $\widetilde{Gr}_J(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. So $Gr_{J^n}(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for $n \gg 0$. □

Here we give an example where our Theorem 3.7 holds:

Example 3.8. Let $A = \mathbb{Q}[|X,Y,Z,W|]/(X^2 - Y^2Z, XY^4 - Z^2)$. Let x, y, z, w denotes the images of X, Y, Z, W in A respectively. Let $I = (x, y, w)$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z, w)$. Then $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is a two dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Using CoCoA (see, [1]) we have computed

$$P_I(t) = \frac{2+2t}{(1-t)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathfrak{m}}(t) = \frac{1+2t+t^2}{(1-t)^2}.$$

We have $e_1^{\mathfrak{m}}(A) = e_1^I(A) + \lambda(\mathfrak{m}/I) + 1$. We have also checked that $\lambda(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}^2}/I^2) = 3 = 2\lambda(\mathfrak{m}/I) + 1$. Hence by Theorem 3.7$(b)$ we get $\widetilde{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

4. The case of third Hilbert coefficient

In this section we deal with third Hilbert coefficients and generalize a remarkable result of Itoh for normal ideals(see, [6]).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $A = B$ and $\psi = id_A$. Let $\dim A \geq 3$. Let I, J be $\mathfrak{m}-$primary ideals and I is a reduction of J. Suppose $Gr_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay and J is asymptotically normal. Then*

$$e_3^J(A) \geq e_3^I(A).$$

Proof. By standard argument it suffices to consider $\dim A = 3$. As J is asymptotically normal by [3, Theorem 3.1] there exists n_0 such that $\operatorname{depth} Gr_{J^n}(A) \geq 2$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Now set $T = I^n$, $K = J^n$ and $W = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} K^{n+1}/T^{n+1}$ for $n \geq n_0$. Then we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow L^T(A) \longrightarrow L^K(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\mathcal{R}(T, A)-$modules. Note that $\operatorname{depth} L^K(A) \geq 2$. So we get W is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension 3. Thus $e_i(W) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e_3^K(A) &= e_3^T(A) + e_2(W). \\ &\geq e_3^T(A). \end{aligned}$$

As $e_3^J(A) = e_3^{J^n}(A)$ and $e_3^I(A) = e_3^{I^n}(A)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore $e_3^J(A) \geq e_3^I(A)$. □

By analysing the case of equality we prove the following:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $A = B$ and $\psi = id_A$. Assume $\dim A = 3$. Let I, J be two $\mathfrak{m}-$primary ideals and I is a reduction of J. Suppose $Gr_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay and J is asymptotically normal. If $e_3^J(A) = e_3^I(A)$ then $Gr_{J^n}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all $n \gg 0$.*

Proof. As J is asymptotically normal by [3, Theorem 3.1] there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $\operatorname{depth} Gr_{J^n}(A) \geq 2$. Now set $T = I^n$, $K = J^n$ and $W = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} K^{n+1}/T^{n+1}$ for $n \geq n_0$. From the proof of Theorem 4.1 we see that W is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension 3 and $e_3^J(A) = e_3^I(A) + e_2(W)$.

Suppose $e_3^I(A) = e_3^J(A)$. Then $e_2(W) = 0$. So the Hilbert series of W is given by

$$H_W(s) = \frac{r_0 + r_1 s}{(1-s)^3}.$$

Let x, y, z be a $K \oplus T$ superficial sequence. Set $u = xt, v = yt$ and $w = zt$. Note that $u, v, w \in \mathcal{R}(T, A)_1$. Also set $U = W/(u, v)W$, $\overline{L^K(A)} = L^K(A)/(u, v)L^K(A)$ and $\overline{L^T(A)} = L^T(A)/(u, v)L^T(A)$. Then we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow \overline{L^T(A)} \longrightarrow \overline{L^K(A)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & U(-1) & \longrightarrow & \overline{L^T(A)}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \overline{L^K(A)}(-1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \mathrm{w} & & \downarrow \mathrm{w} & & \downarrow \mathrm{w} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & \overline{L^T(A)} & \longrightarrow & \overline{L^K(A)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Also note that Hilbert series of U/wU is given by

$$H_{U/wU}(s) = r_0 + r_1 s.$$

Therefore $(U/wU)_j = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. Now set $E = ker\left(\overline{L^K(A)}(-1) \xrightarrow{w} \overline{L^K(A)}\right)$. Note that $E_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$ by Snake Lemma. Also $E_0 = 0$.
Claim $E_1 = 0$: To prove this set $\mathcal{F} = \{K^m\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a filtration on A. Also $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \{K^m + (x,y)/(x,y)\}$ is the quotient filtration on $A/(x,y)A$. Put $\mathfrak{q} = K/(x,y) = \bar{\mathcal{F}}_1$. We may assume that $\mathfrak{q}$ is integrally closed. Note $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_m = \mathfrak{q}^m$ for $m \geq 1$.

We prove that $\overline{\mathcal{F}_2} : z = \bar{\mathcal{F}}_1 = \mathfrak{q}$. Let $a \in \overline{\mathcal{F}_2} : z$. So $za \in \overline{\mathcal{F}_2} = \mathfrak{q}^2$. This implies $a \in \widetilde{q} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q}$. It follows that $E_1 = 0$. Thus E is zero. So $\operatorname{depth} \overline{L^K(A)} \geq 1$. Thus $\operatorname{depth} L^K(A) \geq 3$. Therefore $\operatorname{depth} Gr_K(A) \geq 3$. Hence $Gr_K(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. □

5. Examples.

In this section we show that there are plenty of examples where Theorem 2.1 holds.

Example 5.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring. Let $(B, \mathfrak{n}) = (R/\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Suppose $\dim R = t$ and $\dim B = d$. Then $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{a}) = t - d$. Set $g = t - d$. Then there exists a regular sequence $\underline{u} = u_1, \cdots, u_g$ of length g. Set $A = R/(\underline{u})$. Then we get a surjective ring homomorphism

$$A \overset{\psi}{\twoheadrightarrow} B.$$

Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{m}_A$. Set $I = (\mathfrak{q} :_A \mathfrak{m}_A)$. Clearly $\mathfrak{q} \subset I \subset \mathfrak{m}_A$. By [2, Theorem 2.1] we get

$$I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I.$$

So $\psi(I^2) = \psi(\mathfrak{q})\psi(I)$. Thus $Gr_I(B)$ has minimal multiplicity. As $\psi(\mathfrak{q})B$ is a minimal reduction $\psi(\mathfrak{m}_A) = \mathfrak{n}$ so we get $\psi(I)B$ is a reduction of $\psi(\mathfrak{m}_A) = \mathfrak{n}$. Hence by Theorem 2.1 we get

$$e_1^{\mathfrak{n}}(B) \geq e_1^I(B) + \lambda(\mathfrak{n}/I).$$

Example 5.2. Suppose $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring which not regular. Let J be any $\mathfrak{m}-$primary ideal. Set $I := (\mathfrak{q} :_A \mathfrak{m})$ where $\mathfrak{q}$ is a minimal reduction of J. Then

$$e_1^J(A) \geq e_1^I(A) + \lambda(J/I).$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that I has minimal multiplicity. By [2, Theorem 2.1] we have $\mathfrak{q} \subset I \subset J$ and $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$. Thus I has minimal multiplicity. □

Example 5.3. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}) \overset{\psi}{\to} (B, \mathfrak{n})$ be a local homomorphism of Cohen-Macaulay local rings with $\dim A = \dim B$. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$ primary ideal in A. If A is regular and $Gr_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then $Gr_I(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. If $\psi(I)B$ is a reduction J in B then

$$e_1^J(B) \geq e_1^I(B) + \lambda(J/I).$$

Proof. By Auslander-Buchsbaum formula we get B is free as an $A-$module. As $Gr_I(A)$ Cohen-Macaulay, so $Gr_I(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence by Theorem 2.1 we get the inequality. □

Example 5.4. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be Cohen-Macaulay local ring and I be an $\mathfrak{m}-$primary ideal. Let $I \subset J \subset \bar{I}$ (integral closure of I). If $Gr_I(A)$ is Cohen-Macalay then by Theorem 2.1 we get

$$e_1^J(A) \geq e_1^I(A) + \lambda(J/I).$$

If equality holds above then $Gr_J(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Acknowledgements

The first and last authors would like to thank IIT Bombay especially Department of Mathematics for it's hospitality during the preparation of this work.

References

[1] Neisi, G., Capani, A.,Robbiano and L.: CoCoA, *a system for doing computations in commutative algebra*. available via anonymous ftp from cocoa.dima.unige.it 1995.
[2] A. Corso, C. Polini, and W. V. Vasconcelos, *links of prime ideals*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 115 (1994), 431-436.
[3] S. Huckaba and C. Huneke, *Normal ideals in regular rings*, J. Reine Angew. Math 510 (1999) 63-83.
[4] C. Huneke, *Hilbert functions and symbolic powers*, Michigan Math. J. 34 (1987), 293-318.
[5] S. Huckaba and T. Marley, *Hilbert coefficients and depth of associated graded rings*, J.London Math.Soc.,56(2) (1997), 64-76.
[6] S. Itoh, *Coefficients of normal Hilbert polynomials*, J. Algebra 150 (1992), 101-117.
[7] S. Itoh, *Hilbert coefficients of integrally closed ideals*, J. Algebra 176 (1995), 638-652.
[8] A. V. Jayanthan and Ramakrishna. N *On the depth of fiber cones of stretched* $\mathfrak{m}-$*primary ideals*, To appear in Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.
[9] M. Narita, *A note on the coefficients of Hilbert characteristic functions in semi-regular local rings*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 59 (1963), 269-275.
[10] D. G. Northcott, *A note on the coefficients of the abstract Hilbert function* , J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1960), 209-214.
[11] , Ooishi, $\triangle$*-genera and sectional genera of commutative rings* , Hiroshima Math. J. 17 (1987), 145-158.
[12] L.J. Ratliff Jr and D.E. Rush, *Two notes on reduction of ideals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 27 (6) (1978) 929-934.
[13] T. J. Puthenpurakal, *Ratliff-Rush Filtration, Regularity and Depth of Higher Associated Graded Modules Part I*,J.Pure Applied Algebra, 208, 159-176(2007).
[14] P. Valabrega, G. Valla, *Form rings and regular sequences*, Nogoya Math. J. 72(1978) 93-101.

Department of Mathematics, University of Kurdistan, P.O. Box: 416, Sanandaj, Iran.
E-mail address: a_mafi@ipm.ir

Department of Mathematics, IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400 076
E-mail address: tputhen@math.iitb.ac.in
E-mail address: raki.hcu@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Sanandaj Branch Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran.
E-mail address: hero.saremi@gmail.com